

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

DEC 20 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

DOUGLAS O'CONNOR; et al.,

Plaintiffs-Appellees,

v.

UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee,

v.

S. PATRICK MENDEL,

Movant-Appellant.

No. 19-17073

D.C. No. 3:13-cv-03826-EMC
Northern District of California,
San Francisco

ORDER

Before: THOMAS, Chief Judge, and BERZON and BRESS, Circuit Judges.

A review of the record and the response to the motion for summary affirmance indicates that the questions raised in this appeal are so insubstantial as not to require further argument. *See United States v. Hooton*, 693 F.2d 857, 858 (9th Cir. 1982) (stating standard).

Accordingly, the motion for summary affirmance (Docket Entry No. 6) is granted. We summarily affirm the district court's September 13, 2019 order.

AFFIRMED.